

Subscribe

Past Issues

Translate ▼

[View this email in your browser](#)



DISABILITY INSURANCE ATTORNEYS

Subscribe

Past Issues

Translate ▼

ERIC BUCHANAN
& ASSOCIATES

DISABILITY INSURANCE ATTORNEYS

Did UNUM Deny Your Client's Disability Claim?

**Or any other greedy
LTD insurance company**

CONTACT US



BUCHANANDISABILITY.COM

HELPING WIN DISABILITY INSURANCE CLAIMS NATIONWIDE
against Unum, Hartford, NY Life, and others like them





WE APPRECIATE REFERRALS

Victory for Our Client: Court Ruled Against Unum's Claim ERISA Applied

GOODEN V. UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Our client held an individual disability policy that he obtained directly from Unum. *Gooden v. Unum Life Ins. Co. of America*, 181 F.Supp.3d 465, 468 (E.D. Tenn. 2016). An insurance salesperson for Unum reached out to our client's employer and requested to meet privately with some of the employees. *Id.* The meetings resulted in our client and a few other employees obtaining disability policies through Unum, which were discounted by a percentage pursuant to a Unum program that allows for discounts when three or more full-time employees of the same company sign up, and the employer agrees to pay the bill directly—whether through payroll deductions, or otherwise. *Id.* at 468–69. Our client's employer did agree to pay the premiums, and to fund those payments by deducting the same amount from our client's paycheck. *Id.* at 469. Our client's employer never negotiated a group plan, nor did it pay the premiums with its own funds. *Id.*

When Unum refused to pay our client's claim for disability benefits, we sued in state court under Tennessee's bad-faith contract law. *Id.* Unum removed the claim to federal court, seeking to have our claim reviewed

[Subscribe](#)[Past Issues](#)[Translate ▼](#)

removal, because we know that ERISA is often more favorable to insurers and less favorable to our clients. We argued that ERISA did not apply to our client's policy, and Tennessee's state bad-faith law should guide the case. *Id.*

ERISA applies to “employee welfare benefit plans,” defined as:

any plan, fund, or program . . . established or maintained by an employer or by an employee organization, or by both, to the extent that such plan, fund, or program was established or is maintained for the purpose of providing for its participants or their beneficiaries, through the purchase of insurance or otherwise, . . . disability . . . benefits.

***Id.* at 470 (citing 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1) (2012)).**

In determining whether ERISA applied to our client's plan, the court applied the Sixth Circuit's three-step test, which looks first at the Department of Labor “safe harbor” regulations. *Id.* at 470–71. The court stopped at this first step, as it found that our client's plan did fall into the Department of Labor's “safe-harbor” provision, thus exempting it from ERISA coverage. The safe-harbor provision that the court relied on stated that a plan is exempt from ERISA coverage if the circumstances meet the following four requirements:

- (1) No contributions are made by an employer or employee organization;
- (2) Participation [sic] the program is completely voluntary for employees or members;
- (3) The sole functions of the employer or employee organization with respect to the program are, without endorsing the program, to permit the insurer to publicize the program to employees or members, to collect premiums through payroll deductions or dues checkoffs and to remit them to the insurer; and
- (4) The employer or employee organization receives no consideration in the form of cash or otherwise in connection with the program, other

[Subscribe](#)[Past Issues](#)[Translate ▼](#)

services actually rendered in connection with payroll deductions or dues checkoffs.

***Id.* at 471 (citing 29 C.F.R. § 2510.3-1(j) (2019)).**

Unum agreed with us that the second and fourth criteria were satisfied. *Id.* at 471. Thus, the only two criteria at issue for the court were the first and the fourth. In reference to the first criterion, Unum argued that the discount that our client and the other employees received through its own program constituted a contribution by the employer. *Id.* The court did not agree with Unum, and held that “a non-negotiated group discount that applies only because premiums are paid through payroll deduction is not a ‘contribution’ under the first criterion of the safe harbor.” *Id.* at 471–72. Because our client’s employer did not negotiate the discount, nor did the employer pay any portion of the premiums, the court found that the first criterion for exclusion was satisfied. *Id.* at 474–75. Additionally, the court did not buy Unum’s back-up argument that simply paying the premiums through equivalent payroll deductions constitutes employer contribution. *Id.* at 475. The Department of Labor’s own regulations specifically allow an employer to “collect premiums through payroll deductions . . . and remit them to the insurer” for an excluded plan. *Id.* (citing 29 C.F.R. § 2510.3-1(j)(3) (2019)).

[Continue Reading...](#)

Unlock Your Clients Rights: Let Us Navigate ERISA for You

Navigating the complexities of ERISA (Employee Retirement Income Security Act) can be daunting, but you don't have to face it alone. At

[Subscribe](#)[Past Issues](#)[Translate ▼](#)

individuals through the intricacies of ERISA benefit claims with expertise and dedication.

Our lead ERISA benefit claims lawyers have a wealth of experience in handling a wide range of ERISA cases. With our team's collective experience, we've successfully appealed and litigated numerous ERISA cases in court.

One of the hallmarks of our approach is our commitment to providing accessible legal assistance. In many ERISA benefits cases, we offer assistance with appeals without any up-front fees. Instead, our fees are contingent upon our clients recovering benefits or reaching a favorable settlement.

If you're facing challenges with an ERISA-related issue, let us be your trusted partner in seeking the resolution you deserve.



The Disability Insurance Team at Eric Buchanan & Associates understands how difficult it is when dealing with insurance companies. We know the insurance company is not on your client's side and we will fight to recover their disability, life, long term care or health insurance benefits.

[Subscribe](#)[Past Issues](#)[Translate ▼](#)

Are you seeking clarity on ERISA long-term disability, group long-term disability, private disability insurance, or navigating denied health and life insurance claims? Look no further.

At Eric Buchanan & Associates, PLLC, our attorneys specialize in ERISA benefits and insurance claims. We are available to share our expertise with your organization, offering valuable insights and guidance on these complex matters.

Whether you're facing challenges with ERISA benefits, denied insurance claims, or other related issues, our team is here to help. With our extensive experience and in-depth knowledge, we can provide practical solutions and strategies to address your concerns effectively.

Schedule a speaking engagement with us today and empower your organization with the knowledge needed to navigate the intricate world of ERISA and insurance claims.

Contact Our Team Today



Copyright © *|2024|* Eric Buchanan and Associates, PLLC, All rights reserved.

Our mailing address is:

414 McCallie Ave
Chattanooga, TN 37402
United States (US)

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).